

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDICIAL DISTRICT: SENTENCED STATEWIDE 2019

Judicial District	Total Presumptive Stays	Aggravated Dispositional Departure	Judicial District	Total Presumptive Commits	Mitigated Dispositional Departure
1	1610	4	1	603	246
2	1106	1	2	796	405
3	803	4	3	451	195
4	2187	3	4	1364	471
5	727	4	5	337	147
6	467	1	6	265	118
7	1212	6	7	598	185
8	354	0	8	168	50
9	1141	8	9	479	159
10	1763	2	10	904	377
Total	11370	33	Total	5965	2353

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 11,370 (65.6%) offenders sentenced statewide had a presumptive stayed disposition. 33 (0.3%) received an aggravated dispositional departure. Aggravated dispositional departure numbers are displayed by judicial district in column C. Six of the 33 people requested execution of their sentence.

Effective for crimes committed on or after August 1, 2015, a sentence that is executed pursuant to an offender's right to demand execution is no longer considered an aggravated dispositional departure.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 5,965 (34.4%) offenders sentenced statewide had a presumptive prison disposition. 2,353 (39.4%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 1,151 cases (65.3%). Column G displays the mitigated dispositional departure numbers by judicial district.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 4,154 (24%) offenders received prison. Of the 4,154 offenders who received prison, 119 (2.9%) received an aggravated durational departure and 844 (20.3%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns S-T display durational departure numbers by judicial district.

Judicial District	Total Received Prison	Received Prison	
		Aggravated Durational Departure	Mitigated Durational Departure
1	410	19	74
2	423	7	174
3	303	10	30
4	945	43	340
5	232	3	38
6	171	4	15
7	498	14	69
8	144	4	5
9	420	7	40
10	608	8	59
Total	4154	119	844

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 1, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Abrams	Jerome B.	25	10
Asphaug	Karen	14	5
Baxter	M. Michael	1	
Bayley	Douglas C.	21	10
Bibus	Thomas	1	
Braaten	Eric J.	7	
Cain	Janet L. Barke	7	2
Carter	Joseph T.	6	
Clark	Lawrence F.	20	10
Conkel	Terrence E.	7	
Cork	Jamie L.	8	2
Eide	Kevin W.	13	4
Goggins	Patrick	4	2
King	Colleen G	8	5
Knutson	David L.	31	15
Lehmann	Christopher J.	24	11
Lennon	Caroline H.	18	8
Looby	Timothy J.	15	4
Maher	Jessica J.W.	12	5
Mark	Kevin F.	10	8
Mayer	Michael J.	10	6
McCullum	Cynthia L.	29	16
McManus	Timothy J.	31	13
Messerich	Kathryn Davis	9	1
Metzen	Leslie M.	5	2
Moynihan	Shawn M.	13	4
Muehlberg	Stephen L.	3	
O'Brien	Tanya O.	26	9
Offermann	Ann M.	12	5
Perkins	Richard C.	1	1
Perkkio	Arlene	31	16
Perzel	Tracy	16	6
Pugh	Thomas W.	16	8
Simonett	Martha M.	3	1
Stacey	Rex D.	21	10
Taylor	Vicki V.	21	4
Vandelist	Mark C.	20	7
Vraa	Paula D.	4	1
Wahi	Richelle M.	8	2
Wentzell	Michael	19	4
Wermager	Tim D.	15	8
Wilton	Christian S.	18	7
Winters	Jody L.	20	10
TOTAL DISTRICT 1 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		603	246

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 1,610 offenders in the 1st District had a presumptive stayed disposition. Four received an aggravated dispositional departure.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 603 offenders in the 1st District had a presumptive prison disposition. 246 (40.8%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 92 cases (37.4%). Column D displays the mitigated departure number by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 410 offenders in the 1st District received prison. Of the 410 offenders who received prison, 19 (4.6%) received an aggravated durational departure and 74 (18%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q - R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Abrams	Jerome B.	17		3
Asphaug	Karen	9		1
Baxter	M. Michael	2		1
Bayley	Douglas C.	12		2
Bibus	Thomas	1		
Braaten	Eric J.	9		3
Cain	Janet L.	5		1
Carter	Joseph T.	7		1
Clark	Lawrence F.	12		2
Conkel	Terrence E.	5	1	
Cork	Jamie L.	6	1	1
Eide	Kevin W.	10		3
Goggins	Patrick	3		1
Kanning	Philip T.	1		
King	Colleen G	4	1	1
Knutson	David L.	17		2
Lehmann	Christopher	17	1	3
Lennon	Caroline H.	13		1
Looby	Timothy J.	12		2
Maher	Jessica J.W.	8		2
Mark	Kevin F.	3		2
Mayer	Michael J.	5		
McCullum	Cynthia L.	13		5
McManus	Timothy J.	21	2	5
Messerich	Kathryn	9	2	2
Metzen	Leslie M.	3		
Moynihan	Shawn M.	9		1
Muehlberg	Stephen L.	3		
O'Brien	Tanya O.	22	4	5
Offermann	Ann M.	7		
Perkkio	Arlene	15	2	1
Perzel	Tracy	12		4
Pugh	Thomas W.	9		1
Simonett	Martha M.	3		1
Stacey	Rex D.	15		2
Taylor	Vicki V.	18	2	4
Vandelist	Mark C.	13	1	2
Vraa	Paula D.	3		
Wahi	Richelle M.	6		1
Wentzell	Michael	16		4
Wermager	Tim D.	7	1	2
Wilton	Christian S.	17		1
Winters	Jody L.	11		2
TOTAL DISTRICT 1 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		410	19	74

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 2, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Awsumb	Robert	94	59
Bartscher	Joy D.	10	8
Bastian	Gary W.	1	1
Castro	Leonardo	6	1
Diamond	Patrick	62	34
Frisch	Jennifer L.	3	
Gilligan, Jr.	Thomas A.	11	3
Grewing	Sara R.	87	43
Harris	JaPaul J.	28	12
Higgs	David C.	1	
Hilgers	DeAnne	1	1
Ireland	Mark	79	46
Kyle, Jr.	Richard H.	7	2
Millenacker	Robyn A.	1	
Mulrooney	Timothy T.	47	25
Nelson	Laura E.	1	1
Olmstead	Kelly	15	3
Ostby	Elena L.	11	3
Rosas	Salvador M.	5	1
Smith	Joanne M.	1	1
Starr	Nicole J.	48	20
Stephenson	George T.	105	62
Tilsen	Judith M.	87	51
Vuelo	Sophia Y.	56	20
Warner	Teresa R.	1	
Yang	Adam C.	17	8
Yang	P. Paul	11	0
TOTAL DISTRICT 2 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		796	405

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 1,106 offenders in the 2nd District had a presumptive stayed disposition. One received an aggravated dispositional departure.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 796 offenders in the 2nd District had a presumptive prison disposition. 405 (50.9%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 211 cases (52.1%). Column D displays the mitigated departure numbers by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 423 offenders in the 2nd District received prison. Of the 423 offenders who received prison, 7 (1.7%) received an aggravated durational departure and 174 (41.1%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Awsumb	Robert	37	1	21
Bartscher	Joy D.	3	1	
Castro	Leonardo	6	1	3
Diamond	Patrick	29		11
Frisch	Jennifer L.	3		2
Gilligan, Jr.	Thomas A.	9		4
Grewing	Sara R.	45		15
Harris	JaPaul J.	18	1	6
Higgs	David C.	1		
Ireland	Mark	36		14
Kyle, Jr.	Richard H.	5		1
Millenacker	Robyn A.	1		
Mulrooney	Timothy T.	24		11
Olmstead	Kelly	12		9
Ostby	Elena L.	8		2
Rosas	Salvador M.	4		1
Starr	Nicole J.	31	1	14
Stephenson	George T.	48	1	18
Tilsen	Judith M.	40		14
Vuelo	Sophia Y.	39	1	18
Warner	Teresa R.	1		
Yang	Adam C.	11		5
Yang	P. Paul	12		5
TOTAL DISTRICT 2 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		423	7	174

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 3, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Allen	Jacob C.	27	12
Birnbaum	Robert	4	1
Bueltel	Joseph A.	23	8
Buytendorp	Nancy L.	17	10
Cajacob	John T.	14	3
Chase	Joseph F.	41	22
Daily	Christa M.	25	3
Duncan	Karen R.	22	12
Fabian	James	2	
Hanks	Carol M.	15	6
Hayne	Lisa R.	25	8
Jacobson	Debra A.	2	
Johnson	Jeffrey M.	22	8
King	Pamela A.W.	23	10
Kritzer	Jeffrey M.	27	9
Leahy	Mary C.	9	7
Leuning	Ross L.	4	2
Long	Christine A.	11	2
Neisen	Christopher A.	11	7
Opat	Matthew J.	19	11
Schwab	Steven	8	2
Siefken	Kevin H.	32	10
Stevens	Christina Kilbo	17	9
Sturino	Carmaine M.	2	
Wallace	Katherine M.	31	22
Wernick	Mark S.	1	1
Williamson	Jodi L.	17	10
TOTAL DISTRICT 3 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		451	195

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 803 offenders in the 3rd District had a presumptive stayed disposition. Four received an aggravated dispositional departure – one of whom requested execution of their sentence.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 451 offenders in the 3rd District had a presumptive prison disposition. 195 (43.2%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 102 cases (52.3%). Column D displays the mitigated departure number by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 303 offenders in the 3rd District received prison. Of the 303 offenders who received prison, 10 (3.3%) received an aggravated durational departure and 30 (9.9%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q - R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission’s statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Allen	Jacob C.	17	1	4
Birnbaum	Robert	3		
Bueltel	Joseph A.	18		2
Buytendorp	Nancy L.	9	1	
Cajacob	John T.	13	1	1
Chase	Joseph F.	21	1	3
Daily	Christa M.	24		2
Duncan	Karen R.	15		
Fabian	James	2		2
Hanks	Carol M.	10	1	1
Hayne	Lisa R.	22		2
Jacobson	Debra A.	2		
Johnson	Jeffrey M.	17	1	1
King	Pamela A.W.	17	1	4
Kritzer	Jeffrey M.	20		1
Leahy	Mary C.	6	1	2
Leuning	Ross L.	5		
Long	Christine A.	10		
Neisen	Christopher A.	4		2
Opat	Matthew J.	10		
Schwab	Steven	6		
Siefken	Kevin H.	22		2
Stevens	Christina Kilbo	9		
Sturino	Carmaine M.	4		
Wallace	Katherine M.	10	2	
Williamson	Jodi L.	7		1
TOTAL DISTRICT 3 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		303	10	30

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 4, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Anderson	Jamie L.	50	13
Barnette	Toddrick S.	57	24
Bartolomei	Luis	55	24
Brandt	Gina M.	1	1
Bransford	Tanya M.	16	7
Cahill	Peter A.	72	18
Carruthers	Phillip	15	2
Chou	Marta M.	39	17
Chu	Regina M.	87	27
Conroy	Lois R.	57	22
Daly	Margaret A.	26	14
Dawson	Amy E.	1	1
Engisch	Nicole A.	34	9
Fellman	Todd M.	1	
Gaitas	Theodora K.	32	18
Garcia	Tamara G.	95	22
Holton Dimick	Martha A.	83	21
Hoyos	Juan G.	1	
Janzen	Lisa K.	72	25
Karasov	Fred	64	18
Koch	William H.	78	29
Lamas	Carolina A.	1	1
Manning	Bruce D.	2	1
Meyer	Kerry W.	75	24
Moore	James A.	24	11
Mulrooney	Timothy T.	1	1
Peralta	Nelson L.	1	
Piper	David L.	1	
Quaintance	Kathryn	80	27
Quam	Jay M.	81	31
Reding	Jeannice M.	89	33
Remick	Jeffrey S.	1	
Scoggin	Paul R.	23	8
Sheehy	Kathleen D.	3	1
Wahl	Edward T.	1	
West	Sarah S.	45	21
TOTAL DISTRICT 4 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		1364	471

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 2,187 offenders in the 4th District had a presumptive stayed disposition. Three received an aggravated dispositional departure – one of whom requested execution of their sentence.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 1,364 offenders in the 4th District had a presumptive prison disposition. 471 (34.5%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 176 cases (37.4%). Column D displays the mitigated departure numbers by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 945 offenders in the 4th District received prison. Of the 945 offenders who received prison, 43 (4.6%) received an aggravated durational departure and 340 (36.0%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Anderson	Jamie L.	37	1	15
Barnette	Toddrick S.	39	1	18
Bartolomei	Luis	36	1	18
Bernhardson	Ivy S.	1		1
Bransford	Tanya M.	9		5
Cahill	Peter A.	56	6	18
Carruthers	Phillip	14		5
Chou	Marta M.	25	1	12
Chu	Regina M.	63	4	17
Conroy	Lois R.	39	2	14
Daly	Margaret A.	13		3
Engisch	Nicole A.	25		5
Fellman	Todd M.	1		
Gaitas	Theodora K.	14	1	6
Garcia	Tamara G.	75	6	23
Holton Dimick	Martha A.	63	2	22
Hoyos	Juan G.	1		
Janzen	Lisa K.	49	1	21
Karasov	Fred	50	2	12
Koch	William H.	51	3	16
Manning	Bruce D.	1		
Meyer	Kerry W.	53	3	18
Moore	James A.	14	1	5
Olmstead	Kelly	1		
Peralta	Nelson L.	1		
Piper	David L.	1		
Quaintance	Kathryn	54	1	17
Quam	Jay M.	54	3	15
Reding	Jeannice M.	59	2	29
Remick	Jeffrey S.	1		
Scoggin	Paul R.	15		9
Sheehy	Kathleen D.	2		
Sullivan	Bridget A.	1		1
Wahl	Edward T.	1		
West	Sarah S.	26	2	15
TOTAL DISTRICT 4 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		945	43	340

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 5, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Anderson	Gregory J.	23	9
Bentz	Darci J.	15	11
Betters	Mark E.	25	3
Dietrich	Michelle A.	23	9
Docherty	Robert A.	26	10
Ferrazzano	Stephen J.	18	11
Jass	Krista J.	20	9
Johnson	Kurt D.	27	11
Krehbiel	Allison L.	6	3
Moore, III	Gordon L.	18	7
Richards	Douglas L.	1	
Rohland	Patrick	32	18
Timmerman	Troy G.	9	6
Trushenski	Michael D.	27	16
Vajgrt	Terry S.	22	8
Westphal	Todd W.	12	5
Wietzema	Christina M.	18	7
Zimmer	Tricia B.	15	4
TOTAL DISTRICT 5 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		337	147

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 727 offenders in the 5th District had a presumptive stayed disposition. Four received an aggravated dispositional departure – one of whom requested execution of their sentence.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 337 offenders in the 5th District had a presumptive prison disposition. 147 (43.6%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 123 cases (83.7%). Column D displays the mitigated departure numbers by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 232 offenders in the 5th District received prison. Of the 232 offenders who received prison, 3 (1.3%) received an aggravated durational departure and 38 (16.4%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Anderson	Gregory J.	19		
Bentz	Darci J.	4		3
Betters	Mark E.	23		3
Dietrich	Michelle A.	15		
Docherty	Robert A.	18		3
Ferrazzano	Stephen J.	8		2
Jass	Krista J.	13		
Johnson	Kurt D.	21	1	2
Krehbiel	Allison L.	8		1
Moore, III	Gordon L.	13	1	3
Richards	Douglas L.	1		1
Rohland	Patrick	21		3
Timmerman	Troy G.	4		
Trushenski	Michael D.	15	1	9
Vajgrt	Terry S.	16		4
Westphal	Todd W.	8		
Wietzema	Christina M.	13		3
Zimmer	Tricia B.	12		1
TOTAL DISTRICT 5 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		232	3	38

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 6, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Albrecht	H. Peter	1	
Anderson	Michelle M.	13	5
Beiers	Leslie E.	5	1
Cuzzo	Michael J.	7	5
Eichenwald	Jill A.	24	15
Floerke	Shaun R.	22	14
Friday	Robert C.	18	8
Harris	Dale O.	20	9
Hylden	Eric D.	15	4
Johnson	David M.	18	7
Larson	Gary R.	2	
Macaulay	Robert E.	19	10
Munger	Mark A.	4	2
Neo	Theresa M.	14	4
Pagliaccetti	Gary J.	13	6
Peterson	Andrew R.	1	
Starr	Mark M.	30	9
Stumme	Rebekka Lynn	3	
Sullivan	Rachel C.	18	10
Tarnowski	Sally L.	17	8
Wolf	Dale A.	1	1
TOTAL DISTRICT 6 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		265	118

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 467 offenders in the 6th District had a presumptive stayed disposition. One received an aggravated dispositional departure – this person requested execution of their sentence.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 265 offenders in the 6th District had a presumptive prison disposition. 118 (44.5%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 67 cases (56.8%). Column D displays the mitigated departure numbers by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 171 offenders in the 6th District received prison. Of the 171 offenders who received prison, 4 (2.3%) received an aggravated durational departure and 15 (8.8%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Albrecht	H. Peter	1		
Anderson	Michelle M.	13		2
Beiers	Leslie E.	4		1
Cuzzo	Michael J.	2		
Eichenwald	Jill A.	10		1
Floerke	Shaun R.	9		
Friday	Robert C.	10		
Harris	Dale O.	12	1	1
Hylden	Eric D.	11		1
Johnson	David M.	13		2
Larson	Gary R.	2		
Macaulay	Robert E.	11		3
Munger	Mark A.	3		
Neo	Theresa M.	14		2
Pagliaccetti	Gary J.	9	1	
Peterson	Andrew R.	1		
Starr	Mark M.	23		
Stumme	Rebekka Lynn	5	1	2
Sullivan	Rachel C.	9	1	
Tarnowski	Sally L.	9		
TOTAL DISTRICT 6 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		171	4	15

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 7, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Anderson	Steven A.	3	
Benson	Daniel A.	7	1
Benson	Sharon G.	20	10
Carlson	Jay D.	34	11
Cashman	William J.	21	3
Churchwell	Timothy M.	19	7
Clark	Douglas B.	6	1
Clark	Michelle L.	7	0
Davick-Halfen	Kris	34	9
Fritz	Michael D.	20	5
Gustafson	Amber B.	10	2
Hansen	Mark F.	1	
Hanson	Barbara R.	14	6
Hennesy	Sarah E.	13	8
Herzing	Mark J.	9	2
Jesse	Michael S.	21	4
Kulick	Gail T.	26	6
Landwehr	Vicki E.	4	2
Lawson	Michelle W.	22	6
Mahler	Mary B.	33	10
Merkins	Tammy L.	16	4
Miller	Kevin M.	21	8
Pearson	Andrew B.	47	17
Quinn	Matthew M.	27	10
Raupp	Robert	29	2
Rosenfeldt	Jade M.	4	1
Scherer	John H.	35	10
Thilmony	Gretchen D.	29	11
Wang	Shan C.	37	14
Weiler	Leonard A.	12	7
Wetzel	Antoinette C.	16	8
Yon	Tamara L.	1	
TOTAL DISTRICT 7 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		598	185

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 1,212 offenders in the 7th District had a presumptive stayed disposition. Six received an aggravated dispositional departure – one of whom requested execution of their sentence.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 598 offenders in the 7th District had a presumptive prison disposition. 185 (30.9%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 98 cases (53.0%). Column D displays the mitigated departure numbers by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 498 offenders in the 7th District received prison. Of the 498 offenders who received prison, 14 (2.8%) received an aggravated durational departure and 69 (13.9%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Anderson	Steven A.	4		
Benson	Daniel A.	7	0	0
Benson	Sharon G.	13	1	3
Carlson	Jay D.	28	1	
Cashman	William J.	23	3	7
Churchwell	Timothy M.	16		1
Clark	Douglas B.	7		2
Clark	Michelle L.	8		0
Davick-	Kris	27		6
Fritz	Michael D.	21		1
Gustafson	Amber B.	13		2
Hansen	Mark F.	1		
Hanson	Barbara R.	9		
Hennesy	Sarah E.	6		1
Herzing	Mark J.	7		1
Jesse	Michael S.	20	2	1
Kulick	Gail T.	24		3
Landwehr	Vicki E.	3	1	1
Lawson	Michelle W.	19		
Mahler	Mary B.	25		8
Merkins	Tammy L.	13		
Miller	Kevin M.	16	1	2
Pearson	Andrew B.	37		9
Quinn	Matthew M.	18	1	3
Raupp	Robert	32		2
Rosenfeldt	Jade M.	3		
Scherer	John H.	30	1	6
Thilmony	Gretchen D.	20		
Wang	Shan C.	26	2	6
Weiler	Leonard A.	9		2
Wetzel	Antoinette C.	12	1	2
Yon	Tamara L.	1		
TOTAL DISTRICT 7 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		498	14	69

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 8, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Beckman	Stephanie L.	20	8
Christophers	Bruce W.	1	
Doll	Amy J.	19	6
Glasrud	Charles	9	3
Hanson	Rodney C.	20	4
Knutsen	Dwayne	25	11
Listug	Melissa J.	6	3
Mennis	David L.	20	3
Stratton	Laurence J.	6	3
Van Hon	Thomas W.	19	4
Wentzell	Stephen J.	23	5
TOTAL DISTRICT 8 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		168	50

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 354 offenders in the 8th District had a presumptive stayed disposition. None received an aggravated dispositional departure.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 168 offenders in the 8th District had a presumptive prison disposition. 50 (29.8%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 23 cases (46.0%). Column D displays the mitigated departure number by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 144 offenders in the 8th District received prison. Of the 76 offenders who received prison, 4 (2.8%) received an aggravated durational departure and 5 (3.5%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Judge First Name	Judge Last Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Beckman	Stephanie L.	15		
Christophers	Bruce W.	1		
Doll	Amy J.	16		1
Glasrud	Charles	9	2	1
Hanson	Rodney C.	19	1	1
Knutsen	Dwayne	17		1
Listug	Melissa J.	7		
Mennis	David L.	18		1
Stratton	Laurence J.	3		
Van Hon	Thomas W.	19	1	
Wentzell	Stephen J.	20		
TOTAL DISTRICT 8 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		144	4	5

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 9, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Aanes	Patricia A.	22	7
Askegaard	Erik J.	38	23
Austad	Jana M.	16	
Benshoof	Paul T.	14	2
Chandler	Heidi M.	15	7
DeMay	Kristine R.	20	9
DeSanto	John E.	1	
Dixon	Donna K.	12	5
Halverson	Charles D.	16	4
Harrington	David F.	2	1
Hermerding	David F.	26	14
Huseby-Claesson	Annie P.	13	
LeDuc, II	Charles H.	9	5
Mallie	Matthew	1	
Marben	Kurt J.	15	3
Maturi	Jon	4	2
McBroom	Sarah L.	14	9
Melbye	John G.	18	3
Metzen	Leslie M.	1	1
Rasmussen	Paul E.	11	3
Rasmusson	Anne Marie	24	7
Remick	Jeffrey S.	47	10
Rivera Spalla	Darlene	2	1
Schieferdecker	Eric P.	12	6
Schluchter	Shari R.	17	3
Senyk	Waldemar B.	2	
Strandlie	Christopher J.	8	5
TenEyck	David	6	
Tiffany	Robert D.	19	2
Vaa	Galen	2	1
Wahwassuck	Korey	27	14
Wolf	Dale A.	1	1
Yon	Tamara L.	39	8
Zimmerman	Richard A.	5	3
TOTAL DISTRICT 9 DEPARTURES	MITIGATED	479	159

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 1,141 offenders in the 9th District had a presumptive stayed disposition. Eight received an aggravated dispositional departure – one of whom requested execution of their sentence.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 479 offenders in the 9th District had a presumptive prison disposition. 159 (33.2%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 116 cases (73.0%). Column D displays the mitigated departure numbers by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 420 offenders in the 9th District received prison. Of the 420 offenders who received prison, 7 (1.7%) received an aggravated durational departure and 40 (9.5%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Aanes	Patricia A.	18	1	
Askegaard	Erik J.	17		2
Austad	Jana M.	18		2
Benshoof	Paul T.	13		1
Chandler	Heidi M.	15		2
DeMay	Kristine R.	14		2
DeSanto	John E.	1		
Dixon	Donna K.	8		1
Halverson	Charles D.	13		1
Harrington	David F.	1		1
Hermerding	David F.	14		1
Huseby-Claesson	Annie P.	14		
LeDuc, II	Charles H.	8		
Mallie	Matthew	1		
Marben	Kurt J.	23		2
Maturi	Jon	2		
McBroom	Sarah L.	9		2
Melbye	John G.	16	1	1
Metzen	Leslie M.	1		
Rasmussen	Paul E.	9		
Rasmusson	Anne Marie	24		2
Remick	Jeffrey S.	51	3	3
Rivera Spalla	Darlene	4		2
Schieferdecker	Eric P.	7		1
Schluchter	Shari R.	15	1	1
Senyk	Waldemar B.	2		
Strandlie	Christopher J.	6		1
TenEyck	David	7		1
Tiffany	Robert D.	17		
Vaa	Galen	3		
Wahwassuck	Korey	15		2
Wolf	Dale A.	2		1
Yon	Tamara L.	50	1	8
Zimmerman	Richard A.	2		
TOTAL DISTRICT 9 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		420	7	40

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES BY JUDGE: SENTENCED DISTRICT 10, 2019

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Presumptive Commits	Number of Cases that Received a Mitigated Dispositional Departure
Brosnahan	Amy R.	16	4
Brown	Suzanne M.	1	
Buccicone	Brianne J.	23	4
Cunningham	James A.	39	30
Davis	Michele A.	33	18
Dehen	John	5	2
Dowdal	Bridgid E.	16	7
Fitzpatrick	Thomas M.	25	11
Flanagan	Patrick W.	22	9
Fountain	Bethany A.	39	16
Fredrickson	Tammi A.	1	1
Freeman	Juanita C.	24	10
Galler	Gregory G.	14	2
Halsey	Stephen M.	17	4
Hannon	Mary E.	12	2
Hawley	Sheridan	18	6
Hayes	Thomas	1	
Hiljus	Stoney L.	20	13
Hoffman	John C.	27	12
Ilkka	Richard C.	30	7
Jasper	Jenny Walker	50	30
Jasper	Jonathan N.	36	16
Jude	Tad	25	6
Kaminsky	Walter	26	7
Klossner	John D.	22	12
Larson	Kristin C.	17	10
Lehmann	Thomas R.	9	6
Logering	Nancy	5	
Maas	Ellen L.	18	3
Martin	Krista K.	23	9
McCarthy	Karin L.	7	1
McPherson	Catherine	6	2
Meslow	Douglas B.	25	17
Mottl	Kathleen A.	14	3
O'Fallon	Daniel A.	6	4
Pietan	Laura A.	12	6
Rancourt	Robert G.	16	6
Reuter	James	1	
Schoffelman	Todd R.	18	13
Schommer	Karen B.	27	8
Strand	Elizabeth	22	9
Street	Dyanna L.	47	23
Sullivan	Barry A.	16	7
Tenney	Geoffrey W.	12	3
Wynn	Heather	13	7
Yunker	Mary	31	6
TOTAL DISTRICT 10 MITIGATED DEPARTURES		904	377

Note: A word of caution about departure rates by judge: departure rates can be affected by how many cases a judge sentences, the type of cases sentenced, and the criminal history score of the cases sentenced. When performing data analysis, it is important to consider the number of offenders represented in the population. For example, if we only have four cases, and two of those cases received a dispositional departure, the departure rate is high at 50 percent, but only reflects two cases. Small sample sizes may cause low statistical power and inflated false discovery rates. The type of cases can also affect departure rates. For example, a judge may have more cases with offense types more likely to receive a departure.

Definitions

Definitions for Guidelines terminology can be found at <https://mn.gov/sentencing-guidelines/training/definitions/>

Aggravated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 1,763 offenders in the 10th District had a presumptive stayed disposition. Two received an aggravated dispositional departure.

Mitigated Dispositional Departures

In 2019, 904 offenders in the 10th District had a presumptive prison disposition. 377 (41.7%) offenders received a mitigated dispositional departure. The Court cited plea negotiation on the sentence in 152 cases (40.3%). Column D displays the mitigated departure numbers by judge.

Durational Departures for Offenders Receiving Prison

In 2019, 608 offenders in the 10th District received prison. Of the 608 offenders who received prison, 8 (1.3%) received an aggravated durational departure and 59 (9.7%) received a mitigated durational departure. Columns Q-R display durational departure numbers by judge.

Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines Commission (MSGC) monitoring data are offender-based, meaning cases represent offenders rather than individual charges. Offenders sentenced within the same county in a one-month period are generally counted only once, based on their most serious offense. This data request was prepared by the research staff of MSGC in fulfillment of the Commission's statutory role as a clearinghouse and information center for information on sentencing practices. This is not a policy document. Nothing in this request should be construed as a statement of existing policy or recommendation of future policy on behalf of the Commission itself, or as an authoritative interpretation of the Minnesota Sentencing Guidelines, Minnesota statutes, or case law.

Judge Last Name	Judge First Name	Total Received Prison	Durational Departure (prison only)	
			Aggravated	Mitigated
Bollman	Suzanne	12		
Brosnahan	Amy R.	13		1
Brown	Suzanne M.	1		
Buccicone	Brianne J.	22	1	
Cunningham	James A.	11		2
Davis	Michele A.	17	2	2
Dehen	John	3		
Dowdal	Bridgid E.	11		1
Fitzpatrick	Thomas M.	16	1	1
Flanagan	Patrick W.	20		
Fountain	Bethany A.	27	1	
Freeman	Juanita C.	18		1
Galler	Gregory G.	12		3
Halsey	Stephen M.	19		4
Hannon	Mary E.	10		
Hawley	Sheridan	12	1	2
Hayes	Thomas	1		1
Hiljus	Stoney L.	10		
Hoffman	John C.	15		1
Ilkka	Richard C.	24		1
Jasper	Jenny Walker	23		3
Jasper	Jonathan N.	21		2
Jude	Tad	19		4
Kaminsky	Walter	20		2
Klossner	John D.	14	1	
Larson	Kristin C.	8		1
Lehmann	Thomas R.	3		2
Logering	Nancy	8		1
Maas	Ellen L.	16		2
Martin	Krista K.	15		2
McCarthy	Karin L.	6		1
McPherson	Catherine	4		
Meslow	Douglas B.	10		
Mottl	Kathleen A.	12		1
O'Fallon	Daniel A.	3		1
Pietan	Laura A.	10		4
Rancourt	Robert G.	13		1
Reuter	James	1		
Schoffelman	Todd R.	5		2
Schommer	Karen B.	21		2
Strand	Elizabeth	14		3
Street	Dyanna L.	27		1
Sullivan	Barry A.	9		
Tenney	Geoffrey W.	13		2
Wynn	Heather	11		1
Yunker	Mary	28	1	1
TOTAL DISTRICT 10 DURATIONAL DEPARTURE		608	8	59