

## **POLICY SPOTLIGHT**

**JULY 2021** 

# CMS Impermissibly Seeks to Reopen Georgia's 1332 Waiver Application and Approval Process

#### PETER J. NELSON • SENIOR POLICY FELLOW

#### Introduction

On June 3, President Biden's new Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Administrator, Chiquita Brooks-LaSure, sent a letter to Gov. Brian Kemp of Georgia requesting an updated analysis of the state's waiver of certain Affordable Care Act (ACA) provisions that was approved by the Trump Administration last Fall. CMS gave the

state a 30-day deadline. Georgia responded on July 2 expressing concerns that the request falls outside the Specific Terms and Conditions (STCs) governing the waiver and that it suggests the Biden administration "wish[es] to reopen approval of the waiver—an action not permitted by the STCs." As the request does not appear to fit the process, Georgia asked for a meeting with CMS for further clarification.

Georgia's concerns are well-founded. Administrator Brooks-LaSure's request openly declares that the state's updated analysis will be subject to new 30-day federal public comment period and then be used to further evaluate whether the waiver meets certain statutory requirements. This certainly appears to be a reopening of the application as these are all key elements of the application and approval process

the state already completed last Fall. Given how the request effectively requires the state to reopen the application, its premature timing, the tight 30-day deadline, and a dubious reference to authority to terminate the waiver, this appears to be the Biden administration's first step toward undoing Georgia's waiver. While Administrator Brooks-LaSure claims to be "committed to working in partnership with states," this is not how a good faith partner

operates.

This analysis assesses both the legal and practical basis for the CMS request. Though CMS cites to the STCs for authority to request these updated analyses, a close examination shows theses STCs are not relevant to the current situation. Therefore, there does not appear to be any legal basis for the CMS request. Even if there were a legal basis, any updated analyses would be premature. The changed cir-

cumstances CMS cites—including the temporary expansion of premium tax credits in the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), increased federal funding for Navigators, and the COVID special enrollment period—are just now taking shape in the insurance market and the data necessary to make a meaningful assessment of these changes is not yet available. Moreover, though some circumstances may

"There does not appear to be any legal basis for the CMS request. Even if there were a legal basis, any updated analyses would be premature."



"There is no other

provision in statute

or regulation giving

CMS the authority

to make these

demands."

have changed, the underlying dynamics driving the results in the actuarial and economic analyses have not changed. Thus, there's little reason to think the changes would upset the positive forces the Georgia waiver will introduce to increase affordability and access to health coverage.

#### **Background**

Section 1332 of the ACA provides for a "Waiver for State Innovation," which allows states to waive certain provisions of the law to implement innovative new State health care plans. This ACA provision clearly recognizes the value in giving states flexibility to experiment with different approaches to providing access to health coverage through the individual health insurance market. The law allows these waivers so long as the waiver meets specific criteria, often called guardrails, to

help ensure a comparable number of people retain access to coverage that is as comprehensive and affordable as without the waiver. In addition, a waiver must be deficit neutral to the federal government.

In 2019, Georgia applied for a Section 1332 Waiver to address serious challenges the state's individual market was facing, including

"drastic premium increases, low carrier participation in several counties across the state, and declining enrollment."<sup>2</sup> After ongoing discussions and deliberations with CMS and stakeholders, the state eventually settled on a waiver that included two main parts. Part I implements a state reinsurance program to lower premiums. This is similar to programs in other states that fund claims for people with high costs, which removes the cost from the risk pool and lowers premiums for everyone in the market. Part II implements the Georgia Access Model, which will transition Georgia from relying on HealthCare.gov to a new health insurance delivery mechanism that takes advantage of private market resources to expand consumer access and enrollment by delivering a better consumer experience.

CMS approved Georgia's 1332 waiver plan last Fall after concluding the plan met the law's guardrails. This conclusion was based on a finding that the state's economic and actuarial analyses provided reasonable projections establishing how the waiver will meet the comprehensiveness, affordability, coverage, and deficit neutrality guardrails.

#### CMS Request for Updated Analyses

The transition from the Trump administration to the Biden administration brought a substantial shift in policies and priorities, and so it is no surprise that CMS is now underway reviewing all agency actions as directed by Executive Order 14009.<sup>3</sup> Citing this order, CMS sent a letter to Gov. Kemp requesting an updated analysis of the waiver by July 3, just 30 days from the date of the letter.

> The letter requests that the updated analysis account for recent changes in federal law under ARPA, the increase in federal funding for outreach marketing and navigators, and the COVID special enrollment period. Upon submission, CMS states they will period and then evaluate whether

As the basis for this request, CMS primarily cites two provisions of the Specific Terms and Conditions (STCs) of the waiver. These STCs operate as the contract between CMS and the state of Georgia for the administration of the waiver. CMS cites authority under STC 15 to request further information for ongoing monitoring and oversight of a waiver and authority under STC 7 to "amend, suspend, or terminate the waiver ... as necessary to bring the waiver ... into compliance with changes to existing applicable federal statutes enacted by Congress or applicable new statutes enacted by Congress." Neither of these provisions, however, provides the authority CMS asserts. Moreover, there is no other provision in statute or regulation giving CMS the authority to make these demands.

provide a 30-day federal comment the waiver continues to satisfy the guardrails.



#### STC 15 Is Not Yet Relevant

Federal law provides that all 1332 waivers must undergo periodic evaluations by CMS and the Department of the Treasury (the Departments). Federal regulations go on to require the Departments to periodically evaluate the implementation of a program under a Section 1332 waiver. Fas CMS recently characterized this requirement in preamble to proposed ruling making, the Departments are responsible for conducting evaluations to determine the impact of the section 1332 waiver. CMS Regulations further require states to fully cooperate with the Departments on an evaluation and provide them with all request-

ed data and information.<sup>7</sup> This cooperation is formalized in the agreement between Georgia and the Departments in STC 15.

Under this framework, STC 15 focuses on information related to the actual implementation and impact of the waiver to ensure the waiver is working as intended. Indeed, the clear purpose of a "periodic evaluation" is to regularly review the impact of an activity after it starts. At this point, there is nothing to evaluate because the

waiver will not be implemented until 2023 and, therefore, STC 15 is not yet relevant to the process outlined in federal regulation or the STCs.

Request Impermissibly Asks Georgia to Reopen the Waiver Application and Approval Process

If CMS were able to exercise the authority they assert, then they would effectively have authority to reopen the waiver application and approval process, which it clearly does not have authority to do under the statute, regulations or the STCs. The agency's explanation of what it plans to do with the information lays bare its intent to reopen the application and approval process. On top of requesting updated actuarial and economic analyses, the CMS

request notifies Georgia that the federal government will then provide another 30-day public comment period on the state's updated analyses to inform whether the already approved waiver should begin. Actuarial and economic analyses, comment periods, and responses to comments form the substance of a final and complete application. As such, redoing all of these elements would, as a process matter, function to reopen the application and approval process.

Leading up to the approval of the waiver, the state engaged in a rigorous application process to ensure the waiver met all of the necessary standards for approval. The application process included four

state and federal public comment periods, providing the public an opportunity to comment for over 100 days. Both the Departments and the state carefully considered these comments in approving the waiver. In response to comments, Georgia initially modified the waiver after the initial rounds and then updated the waiver with additional detail and clarifications after later rounds. The process also included independent analyses and affirmation by the Department of Treasury and the Office of the Actuary of CMS.

The STCs operate as a signed, binding contract between the federal and state governments and there is no provision with the STCs for any party to unilaterally reopen and amend the contract. As stated in STC 17, the Departments may only amend the waiver in cases where the state fails to comply with the STCs or fails to meet the guardrails. Neither of these events have occurred. The state is in full compliance with the STCs and, until 2023, there will be no experience from implementing the waiver to demonstrate failure. Moreover, the state's response to the CMS request affirms that "Georgia has every intention of complying with the guardrails throughout the life of the waiver." Therefore, there is no avenue for CMS to reopen and amend the waiver at this time.

"Leading up to
the approval of
the waiver, the
state engaged in a
rigorous application
process to ensure the
waiver met all of the
necessary standards
for approval."

portunity to com
days. Both the D
the state careful
comments in ap
In response to co
initially modified
the initial rounds
the waiver with a
and clarifications
The process also
pendent analyse



The binding nature of this contract, and the reliance the state of Georgia places on this contract for moving forward and investing in this new and innovative state health program, would be entirely undermined if CMS could continually revisit all of the work that went into the application and approval process. Yet, that is exactly what CMS is asserting it can do, which is not permissible under the STCs negotiated between the Departments and the state.

#### **ARPA Does Not Trigger STC 7**

Because there is no clear path to reopen and amend the waiver under STC 17, CMS cites STC 7 to claim discretion to amend, suspend, or terminate the waiver to bring it into compliance with a change in federal law. Like STC 15, STC 7 is not relevant to these circumstances.

STC 7 is clearly directed at circumstances where a change in federal law adds or changes requirements on states or contravenes the policies established by the waiver. In the current circumstance, there has been no change in federal requirements that

has been no change in federal requirements that would trigger state action to comply.

Following the approval of a waiver, federal regulations require that "a State must comply with all applicable Federal laws ... unless expressly waived."8 The regulation goes on to require that "[a] State must ... come into compliance with any changes in Federal law ..., unless the provision being changed is expressly waived."9 In other words. while a state can waive certain provisions of federal law, a state must still comply with the rest of the law under a waiver, even if the law changes after the waiver is approved. This requirement is formalized in STC 7. Helpfully, STC 7 provides examples of requirements a state may need to change to ensure compliance, such as rate review and consumer noticing requirements. Since approval of the waiver, there has been no change in such federal requirements.

Nonetheless, CMS suggests STC 7 is implicated because ARPA temporarily changed federal law to expand eligibility for and enhance the value of premium tax credits for plan years 2021 and 2022. This change in federal law only changed the benefits available to individuals and did not add requirements that require compliance or amend any statutory language in section 1332. Moreover, the change is temporary and ends on December 31, 2022 before Part II of the Georgia waiver starts.

Regardless, CMS suggests the change in federal law is relevant to STC 7 because it may implicate enrollment during the waiver period, suggesting

enrollment gains can "persist" after the federal policy changes end. But this is only a change in the circumstances driving the market dynamics, which is no different from any change in the economy or otherwise that changes market dynamics. If this change implicates STC 7, then nearly any change in federal law that impacts the economy implicates STC 7. Clearly that is not the intent behind STC 7.

Furthermore, while the Con-

gressional Budget Office (CBO) agrees enrollment gains may persist somewhat, they estimate enrollment "would gradually return to current law levels by 2024." Thus, according to CBO, enrollment would only be impacted in the first year of the Georgia Access Model, hardly the persistence that requires a reopening of the waiver as CMS asserts.

### circumstance, there has been no change in federal requirements that would trigger state action to comply."

"In the current

#### **Any Analysis is Premature**

While there is no authority for CMS to request these updated analyses from Georgia, it would be premature for anyone to begin this type of analysis because it aims to account for polices that are just starting to take shape. At the time CMS requested the updated analyses, CMS had released some data on SEP plan selections for the period covering February 15 to April 30, which covered only the first month of the availability of enhanced premium tax credits under ARPA.<sup>11</sup>



the Treasury

made a good faith

agreement with the

state and they are

bound to follow

through on that

agreement. "

In addition, the data released by CMS indicates only new enrollments and does not account for dropped enrollments, which can only be gleaned publicly from CMS reports on effectuated enrollment—the actual number of people enrolled in a given month who paid premium—that will be released later this year.<sup>12</sup>

To truly understand new market dynamics, it would also be important for any new analysis to incorporate data that reflects the transition to this new post-lockdown period when the economy is reopening and people are re-entering the workforce. Indeed, as we learned last year, insurance coverage responses to COVID-19 have been unpredictable. A recent report published by the Department "CMS and the of Health and Human Services Department of admits that the "shift in cover-

expected" and offers several points as to why.13 Considering the difficulty in projecting the 2020 impact of COVID-19, it's not reasonable to expect anyone to provide an informed analysis on what to expect for 2021 and beyond without at least some preliminary data points on the impact of the new policies and the response to lockdowns lifting.

age was smaller than originally

Even if the STCs authorized CMS to request this information, without new data there is no reason. to think there will be any material changes to the actuarial and economic analyses. Even if new data shows enrollment increased and market dynamics changed, there is no compelling reason to anticipate this would materially change the results either. CMS theorizes that changes in federal law and policy may lead to a smaller base of uninsured consumers to enroll, which would thereby reduce incentives for

private sector entities to participate and enroll people. However, what matters to the private sector is the entire base of possible consumers, including the already insured and the uninsured. In fact, if there is higher enrollment, then that will only increase the incentives for the private sector to participate so long as they know their efforts won't be crowded out and duplicated by HealthCare.gov.

#### **CMS Should Withdraw the Request** and Move Forward in Good Faith

Ultimately, there is no provision to reopen an approved waiver based on conjecture regarding

future impacts of changes in law or

provisions in the STCs for working with the state to bring the waiver into compliance.

CMS and the Department of the Treasury made a good faith agreement with the state and they are bound to follow through on that agreement. CMS should withdraw the request and continue working with the state to ensure their innovative waiver succeeds. A withdrawal of the request would send the appropriate signal that the Departments continue to be willing to work with Georgia in good faith.



## **Endnotes**

1 Letter, Administrator Chiquita Brooks-LaSure to Governor Brian Kemp, June 3, 2021, available at https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/State-Innovation-Waivers/Downloads/1332-Request-Updated-GA-Analysis-Letter.pdf

**2** State of Georgia Office of the Governor, Georgia Section 1332 State Empowerment and Relief Waiver Application, December 23, 2019, available at https://medicaid.georgia.gov/document/document/georgia1332draftwaiver11042019pdf/download.

**3** 86 FR 7793 (February 2, 2021), available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/02/02/2021-02252/strengthening-medicaid-and-the-affordable-care-act

4 PPACA § 1332(a)(4)(B)(v).

5 45 CFR 155.1328.

**6** 86 FR 35156, Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; Updating Payment Parameters, Section 1332 Waiver Implementing Regulations, and Improving Health Insurance Markets for 2022 and Beyond Proposed Rule, July 1, 2021, available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/07/01/2021-13993/patient-protection-and-affordable-care-act-updating-payment-parameters-section-1332-waiver.

7 45 CFR 155.1320(f).

8 45 CFR 155.1320(a).

9 ld.

**10** Congressional Budget Office, Reconciliation Recommendations of the House Committee on Ways and Means, February 15, 2021, available at https://www.cbo.gov/publication/57005.

**11** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Fact Sheet, "2021 Marketplace Special Enrollment Period Report," at https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/2021-marketplace-special-enrollment-period-report-1.

**12** CMS recently released the early snapshot for 2021 effectuated enrollment, but this snapshot captures enrollment through February and so it captures only the first two weeks of the 2021 Marketplace Special Enrollment opportunity and none of the time the enhance premium tax credits are available.

**13** Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Human Services, Trends in the U.S. Uninsured Population, 2010-2020 (February 11, 2021), at https://aspe.hhs.gov/system/files/pdf/265041/trends-in-the-us-uninsured.pdf.



8421 Wayzata Boulevard ★ Suite 110 Golden Valley, MN 55426

**AmericanExperiment.org**